Coating thermal noise for arbitrary-shaped beams

R. O'Shaughnessy*
Department of Physics and Astronomy, Northwestern University
(Dated: Received ?? Month 2006, printed February 7, 2008)

Advanced LIGO's sensitivity will be limited by coating noise. Though this noise depends on beam shape, and though nongaussian beams are being seriously considered for advanced LIGO, no published analysis exists to compare the quantitative thermal noise improvement alternate beams offer. In this paper, we derive and discuss a simple integral which completely characterizes the dependence of coating thermal noise on shape. The derivation used applies equally well, with minor modifications, to all other forms of thermal noise in the low-frequency limit.

PACS numbers: 04.80.Cc,

arXiv:gr-qc/0607035v2 13 Oct 2006

I. INTRODUCTION

Though gravitational wave detectors such as LIGO are presently taking data, the best estimates from the astrophysical community for gravitational waves from compact object merger rates [1, 9, 16] (though some disagree [14]), cosmic strings [21], rotating neutron stars [10, 15, 19], and supernovae [4, 18] suggest that discoveries are most likely to begin with next-generation ground based interferometers like advanced LIGO. The present consensus advanced LIGO design has astrophysical reach (e.g., as measured by the distance to which a pair of inspiralling neutron stars could be detected) limited by coating thermal noise [8]. In this context, thermal noise denotes the phase noise in the IFO produced by elastic oscillations of the mirror excited by the thermal bath of the remaining degrees of freedom [3]; coating thermal noise denotes strong contributions to the noise arising when couplings between elastic modes and the thermal bath (i.e., losses) are predominantly located in the thin mirror coating off which the test beam reflects. Thermal noise depends strongly on beam shape: as one can show by applying the fluctuation-dissipation theorem to a low-frequency limit Liu & Thorne [see, e.g., 12], for gaussian beams thermal noise power goes as $\propto r_o^{-2}$. A flatter beam which more equitably averages over fluctuations, such as "mesa" beams [5, 17] or hyperboloidal generalization [2, 7, 20], should reduce coating thermal noise.

In this paper, we provide a simple two-dimensional integral to allow comparison of the coating thermal noise for different mode shapes. Specifically, the fluctuation dissipation theorem, plus symmetry arguments about half-infinite mirrors, plus some scaling arguments imply the power spectrum $S_x(\omega)$ of coating thermal noise must be proportional to the coating thickness d and to two-dimensional integral over the fourier transform $\tilde{P}(K)$ normalized beam shape $P(r)$

$$S \propto d \int d^2K |\tilde{P}(K)|^2 \tag{1}$$

where $\tilde{P}(K)$, its two-dimensional fourier transform.

However, the symmetry arguments presented can be applied to nearly any system with (approximate) two-dimensional translation symmetry (i.e., with a small beam on a large mirror): $S \propto \int d^2K K^p |\tilde{P}(K)|^2$ for some constant index p. This index can be uniquely determined by comparison to other calculations for gaussian beams; thus the correct noise dependence on beam shape can be easily determined and understood for bulk thermoelastic noise ($S \propto r_o^{-3}$ implies $p = 1$) [3, 17], for bulk thermal noise ($S \propto r_o^{-1}$ implies $p = -1$) [3, 11, 11], and for coating thermoelastic noise ($p = 0$) [6].

II. SCALING ARGUMENT

According to the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, coating thermal noise is proportional to the power dissipation rate $W_{\rm diss}$ associated with a fluctuating pressure of shape $P(r)$ on the mirror surface. Manifestly (for half-infinite mirrors), $W_{\rm diss}$ must be proportional to a translation-invariant inner product on P, of form

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\rm diss} &\propto \int d^2R \int d^2R' V(R-R') P(R) P(R') \\ &\propto \int d^2K \tilde{G}(K) |\tilde{P}(K)|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

By definition, the coating thermal noise is the contribution of the coating to the total thermal noise; thus, expanding in powers of coating thickness,

$$\tilde{G}(K,d) \approx \tilde{G}_o(K) + d\tilde{G}_1(K) + \dots \tag{3}$$

Since no other transverse scale exists in the half-infinite mirror, the kernel $\tilde{G}_1$ must be scale invariant, and therefore satisfy $\tilde{G}_1(\lambda K) = \lambda^p \tilde{G}(K)$, and thereforem be of form

$$\tilde{G}_1(K) = K^p c_1 \tag{4}$$

*Electronic address: oshaughn@northwestern.edu

for some constant c_1. Finally, to recover the usual result for gaussian beams (i.e. $S \propto d/r_o^2$, as has been extensively calculated [8, 11, 13]), we must have $p = 0$.

III. DETAILED CALCULATION

To check this simple scaling argument, we can perform the full fluctuation-dissipation calculation of coating thermal noise in a special case where the exact solution is known: when the elastic properties of the medium and coating are identical. The relevant elastic green's functions for a half-infinite mirror are provided in an appendix of Nakagawa et al. [13]. From Nakagawa et al's Eq. (1) , we know

$$S \propto \int d^2R \int d^2R' P(R)P(R')\mathrm{Im}\chi_{zz}(R-R') \qquad (5)$$

where $\mathrm{Im}\chi_{zz}(R,R')$ is given by their Eqs. (4-5):

$$\mathrm{Im}\chi_{zz}(r) = \phi\frac{1-\sigma^2}{\pi E}\left[F(r,0)-F(r,d)\right] \qquad (6)$$

$$F(r,z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2+4z^2}} \times\left(1+\frac{z^2/(1-\sigma)}{r^2+4z^2}+12\frac{z^4/(1-\sigma)}{(r^2+4z^2)^2}\right) \qquad (7)$$

We can equivalently represent this integral in the fourier domain, as

$$S \propto \int d^2K|\tilde{P}(K)|^2\left[\tilde{F}(K,0)-\tilde{F}(K,d)\right] \qquad (8)$$

where [Nakagawa et al Eq. A1]

$$\tilde{F}(K,d) = 2\pi\frac{e^{-2Kd}}{K}\left[1+\frac{Kd}{1-\sigma}+\frac{(Kd)^2}{1-\sigma}\right] \qquad (9)$$

In other words

$$S \propto \int_0^\infty d^2K|\tilde{P}(K)|^2 \times\left[\frac{-1+\exp[-2Kd]}{K}+\frac{d\exp[-2Kd](1+Kd)}{1-\sigma}\right] \qquad (10)$$

Naturally, $\tilde{P}(K)$ drops to zero well before $K \approx 1/d$; therefore, we may take a small-d limit. We therefore conclude

$$S \propto \int d^2K|\tilde{P}(K)|^2 \, . \qquad (11)$$

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Complementing similar earlier studies by O'Shaughnessy et al. [17] on thermoelastic noise. in this paper we describe how to calculate how coating thermal noise varies with beam shape. An independent derivation, as well as detailed discussion of alternative beam-shape applications, will be forthcoming by other authors (Lovelace et al, in preparation).

More generally, this paper describes a simple way to unify several disparate calculations for the beam shape dependence of thermally-driven noise (e.g., coating thermal noise; bulk thermoelastic noise; bulk thermal noise) produced when a beam reflects off a large mirror.

Acknowledgments

Geoffrey Lovelace deserves considerable thanks for pointing out an error (an incorrect power index for coating thermoelastic noise). This work is partially supported by NSF Gravitational Physics PHYS grant-0353111.

[1] Belczynski, K., Kalogera, V., & Bulik, T. 2002, Astrophys. J. , 572, 407 [ADS]
[2] Bondarescu, M. & Thorne, K. S. 2004, Submitted to Physical Review D on 21 September 2004 (gr-qc/0409083) [URL]
[3] Braginsky, V. B., Gorodetsky, M. L., & Vyatchanin, S. P. 1999, Physics Letters A, 264, 1 [ADS]
[4] Burrows, A., Livne, E., Dessart, L., Ott, C. D., & Murphy, J. 2006, Astrophys. J. , 640, 878 [ADS]
[5] D'Ambrosio, E., O'Shaughnessy, R., Strigin, S., Thorne, K. S., & Vyatchanin, S. 2004, LIGO-T030009-00-R [URL]
[6] Fejer, M. M., Rowan, S., Cagnoli, G., Crooks, D. R., Gretarsson, A., Harry, G. M., Hough, J., Penn, S. D., Sneddon, P. H., & Vyatchanin, S. P. 2004, Phys. Rev. D , 70, 082003 [ADS]
[7] Galdi, V., Castaldi, G., Pierro, V., Pinto, I. M., Agresti, J., D'Ambrosio, E., & Desalvo, R. 2006, Phys. Rev. D , 73, 127101 [ADS]
[8] Harry, G. M., Armandula, H., Black, E., Crooks, D. R. M., Cagnoli, G., Hough, J., Murray, P., Reid, S., Rowan, S., Sneddon, P., Fejer, M. M., Route, R., & Penn, S. D. 2006, Appl. Opt. , 45, 1569 [ADS]
[9] Kim, C., Kalogera, V., & Lorimer, D. R. 2003, Astrophys. J. , 584, 985 [ADS]
[10] Lackey, B. D., Nayyar, M., & Owen, B. J. 2006, Phys. Rev. D , 73, 024021 [ADS]
[11] Levin, Y. 1998, Phys. Rev. D , 57, 659 [ADS]
[12] Liu, Y. T. & Thorne, K. S. 2000, Phys. Rev. D , 62,

122002
[ADS]
[13] Nakagawa, N., Gretarsson, A. M., Gustafson, E. K., & Fejer, M. M. 2002, Phys. Rev. D , 65, 102001
[ADS]
[14] Nakar, E., Gal-Yam, A., & Fox, D. 2005, ApJ (in press)
[URL]
[15] Nayyar, M. & Owen, B. J. 2006, Phys. Rev. D , 73, 084001
[ADS]
[16] O'Shaughnessy, R., Kim, C., Fragos, T., Kalogera, V., & Belczynski, K. 2005, Astrophys. J. , 633, 1076 [ADS]
[ADS]
[17] O'Shaughnessy, R., Strigin, S., & Vyatchanin, S. 2004, (gr-qc/0409050) [URL]
[18] Ott, C. D., Burrows, A., Dessart, L., & Livne, E. 2006, accepted for publication in Phys (astro-ph/0605493)
[19] Owen, B. J. 2006, Classical and Quantum Gravity, 23, 1
[ADS]
[20] Savov, P. & Vyatchanin, S. 2004, (gr-qc/0409084) [URL]
[21] Siemens, X., Creighton, J., Maor, I., Majumder, S. R., Cannon, K., & Read, J. 2006, Phys. Rev. D , 73, 105001
[ADS]